UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/576,186                             | 12/06/2006                           | Yasuhiro Matsubara   | MATSUBARA 2         | 7247             |  |
|  | 7590 09/15/200<br>D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 624 NINTH STREET, NW                   |                                      |                      | KRUER, KEVIN R      |                  |  |
| SUITE 300<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303 |                                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|  |                                      |                      | 1794                |                  |  |
|  |                                      |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |                                      |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |                                      |                      | 09/15/2009          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |         |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment  | 10/576,186   | MATSUBARA ET AL.  |         |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |         |  |  |  |
|  | KEVIN R. KRUER   | 1794  |         |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   | s       |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. ely filed the mailing date of this commun (35 U.S.C. § 133). |         |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |   |         |  |  |  |
|  | -·<br>action is non-final.   |   |         |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  |  | secution as to the mer  | rite ie |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   |  |   | 11.5 15 |  |  |  |
| olooca in addordance with the practice and i   | x parte Quayle, 1000 O.B. 11, 40   | 0.0.210.  |         |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  | vn from consideration.   |   |         |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or e  | election requirement.  |   |         |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   |   |         |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |         |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)  | -(d) or (f).  |         |  |  |  |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents   | s have been received   |   |         |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |  | on No   |         |  |  |  |
|  | • •  |   | 0       |  |  |  |
| <del>_</del> ·   |  |   |         |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.          |   |         |  |  |  |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | or the certified copies flot receive   | u.  |         |  |  |  |
| Attachment/s)  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO_413)   |         |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (P10-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)  | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da  | te  |         |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | 5) Notice of Informal P  |   |         |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6)   |   |         |  |  |  |

## Election/Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to an unstretched multilayer resin film composed of two or more resin layers, wherein at least one of them consists of a film containing a coloring component.

Group II, claim(s) 7, drawn to a method of manufacturing a multilayer film wherein the resin containing the coloring component may have a melt tension Tm in the range of 0.5-1.0 and a thickness equal to half or more of the total thickness.

Group III, claim(s) 8, drawn to a method of manufacturing a multilayer film wherein the resin containing the coloring component may have a melt tension Tm greater than 1.0 and a thickness equal to one third or more of the total thickness.

Group IV, claim(s) 9, drawn to a method of manufacturing a multilayer film wherein the resin NOT containing the coloring component may have a melt tension Tm in the range of 0.5-1.0 and a thickness equal to half or more of the total thickness.

Group V, claim(s) 10, drawn to a method of manufacturing a resin coated metal sheet wherein the resin containing the coloring component may have a melt tension Tm in the range of 0.5-1.0 and a thickness equal to half or more of the total thickness.

Group VI, claim(s) 11, drawn to method of manufacturing a resin coated metal sheet wherein the resin containing the coloring component may have a melt tension Tm greater than 1.0 and a thickness equal to one third or more of the total thickness.

Group VII, claim(s) 12, drawn to the method of manufacturing a resin coated metal sheet wherein the resin NOT containing the coloring component may have a melt tension Tm greater than 1.0 and a thickness equal to one third or more of the total thickness.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the expression special technical features is defined as meaning those technical features that define the contribution which each claimed invention, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The feature that each and every claim has in common is a resin sheet. Said feature is known in the art (see JP 2001-2530333 and JP 11-309770). Since said features fail to define a special technical feature, restriction between the inventions is proper.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The resin films of claim 3 and claim 4

If applicant elects to prosecute Group I, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

CLAIM 3 SPECIE-claim 3 CLAIM 4 SPECIE-claim 4

The following claim(s) of Group I are generic: 1, 2, and 4-6

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the claimed species are mutually exclusive in that their Tm do not overlap.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

Application/Control Number: 10/576,186

Art Unit: 1794

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 5

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN R. KRUER whose telephone number is (571)272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Callie Shosho can be reached on 571-272-1123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,186 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin R Kruer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794